UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,646	01/13/2005	Berta Kotar Jordan	4061-25PUS	9299
	7590 06/17/200 ΓΑΝΙ, LIEBERMAN &		EXAMINER	
551 FIFTH AVENUE			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/521,646	KOTAR JORDAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brenda L. Coleman	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	pril 2009					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-28</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or the continue copies het receive	<b>u</b> .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	акент Аррисация				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Claims 1-28 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment dated April 6, 2009. Claims 1 has been amended.

## Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed April 6, 2009 have been fully considered with the following effect:

1. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), anticipation rejection of claims 1-5, 10, 11 and 25, labeled paragraph 1) maintained in the last office action. The applicants' arguments have been fully considered, however they were not found persuasive. The Applicants' stated that Form I discussed in Bunnell is actually form II defined in the present application. The applicants' stated that the present application adopts the definition of Form I and Form II provided by EP-B-733635. However, there is no indication of this in the applicants' disclosure. In the absence of the specific x-ray diffraction pattern to describe the invention, i.e. Form I, the applicants' claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as set forth herein and in the reasons of record. The applicants' further stated that to place the claims in better format, claim 1 has been

amended to further recite that the solvent mixture comprises an effective amount of form I of olanzapine as seeding crystals. However, Bunnell also states that "the artisan recognizes that crystallization processes may include seeding" in column 14, lines 25-27. It is acknowledged herein that Bunnell fails to set forth the x-ray diffraction pattern for the 2-propanol solvate, however, there is no indication what the x-ray diffraction pattern of Form I is and the rejection of Claims 1-5 is herein maintained as long as there is no clarification on the record as to what the applicants compound is, i.e. "Form I". In view of the applicants' arguments and to further clarify the record the following new grounds of rejection applies and is being added at this time in response to the applicants' filing of the request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 on April 6, 2009.

Page 3

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bunnell et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,703,232, for reasons of record and stated above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 6-28 are allowed. None of the prior art of record or a search in the pertinent art area teaches the solvates, Form A of olanzapine and process of preparing anhydrous forms and Form A of olanzapine as claimed herein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,646 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brenda L. Coleman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624